

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,496	02/27/2004	Andrew F. Nowak	04236905	7432	
26565 7	590 08/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP			FIDEI, I	FIDEI, DAVID	
P.O. BOX 2823 CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3728	3728	
		DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/788,496	NOWAK, ANDREW F.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David T. Fidei	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		—· s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

A) The claims recite a product and method.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim I, drawn to claims 16-22, classified in class 53, subclass 467.
 - II. Claim II, drawn to claims 1-15, classified in class 206, subclass 457.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different method such as selecting a <u>transparent container</u>, i.e., one that does not obscure any part of one's view of the containers contents in complete contradistinction to page 5, last paragraph of the present disclosure, and making the opaque by applying a marking, color or label.

B) The claims in include combination/sub-combinations.

- III. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 16, 17, 21 and 22 are drawn to sub-ecombinations.
- IV. Claims 4, 10-15 and 18-20 are drawn to a combinations.
- 3. Inventions IV and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

Application/Control Number: 10/788,496

Art Unit: 3728

2720

claimed because a hinged container is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as a gift package used without the bag or with a different type of container.

Page 3

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

C) The application contains two species.

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - 1) Figures 1-4
 - 2) Figure 5.

The species are independent or distinct because the hinged box is a different type of packaging medium that does not even appear remotely related to a "pill" container.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/788,496

Art Unit: 3728

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the

7. A telephone call was made to Mr. David Thammig on August 22, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

8. Applicant is to elect <u>one</u> invention from <u>each</u> of items A, B then C above. For example: if applicant desires the product subcombination species of figures 1-4, then applicant would elect A) Group II, B) Group III and finally C) the species 1. Applicant would then <u>indicate claims</u> to be examined consistent with the election. In the present example applicant would than indicate claims 1-3 and 5-8 are to be examined in accordance with the restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention <u>as outlined herein</u> to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) <u>identification of the claims</u> encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention and species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner concerning the merits of the claims should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/788,496 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David T. Fidei
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728

dtf August 22, 2006